## 9.4. Wednesday for MAT3040

## 9.4.1. Jordan Normal Form

**Theorem 9.3** — **Jordan Normal Form.** Suppose that  $T: V \rightarrow V$  has minimial polynomial

$$m_T(x) = \prod_{i=1}^k (x - \lambda_i)^{e_i},$$

then there exists a basis  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{R}}$  such that

$$(T)_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}} = \operatorname{diag}(J_1,\ldots,J_\ell),$$

where each block  $J_i$  is a square matrix of the form

$$J_i = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_i & 1 & & \\ & \mu_i & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & & \mu_i \end{bmatrix}$$

R

By primary decomposition theorem,

$$V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$$
, where  $V_i = \ker((T - \lambda_i I)^{e_i})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,

and each  $V_i$  is *T*-invariant.

We pick basis  $\mathcal{B}_i$  for each subspace  $V_i$ , then  $\mathcal{B} := \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathcal{B}_i$  is a basis of *V*, and

$$(T)_{\mathcal{B},\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} (T \mid_{V_1})_{\mathcal{B}_1,\mathcal{B}_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & (T \mid_{V_2})_{\mathcal{B}_2,\mathcal{B}_2} & \vdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \vdots & (T \mid_{V_k})_{\mathcal{B}_k,\mathcal{B}_k} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $m_{T|_{V_i}}(x) = (x - \lambda_i)^{e_i}$ .

Therefore, it suffices to show the Jordan normal form holds for the linear operator

*T* with minimal polynomial  $m_T(x) = (x - \lambda)^e$ .

Firstly, we consider the case where the minimal polynomial has the form  $x^m$ : **Proposition 9.5** Suppose  $T: V \to V$  is such that  $m_T(x) = x^m$ , then the theorem (9.3)

holds, i.e., there exists a basis  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$(T)_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}} = \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{J}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{J}_\ell),$$

where each block  $J_i$  is a square matrix of the form

$$J_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

• Suppose that  $m_T(x) = x^m$ , then it is clear that

$$\{0\} := \ker(T^0) \le \ker(T) \le \ker(T^2) \le \dots \le \ker(T^m) := V$$

Furthermore, we have  $\ker(T^{i-1}) \subsetneq \ker(T^i)$  for i = 1, ..., m: Note that  $\ker(T^{m-1}) \subsetneq \ker(T^m) := V$  due to the minimality of  $m_T(x)$ ; and  $\ker(T^{m-2}) \varsubsetneq \ker(T^{m-1})$  since otherwise for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \ker(T^m)$ ,

$$T^{m-1}(T\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{0} \implies T\boldsymbol{x} \in \ker(T^{m-1}) = \ker(T^{m-2}) \implies T^{m-2}(T\boldsymbol{x}) = T^{m-1}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{0},$$

i.e.,  $\mathbf{x} \in \text{ker}(T^{m-1})$ , which contradicts to the fact that  $\text{ker}(T^{m-1}) \subsetneq \text{ker}(T^m)$ . Proceeding this trick sequentially for i = m, m - 1, ..., 1, we proved the disired result.

Then construct the quotient space W<sub>i</sub> = ker(T<sup>i</sup>)/ker(T<sup>i-1</sup>) and define B'<sub>i</sub> to be a basis of W<sub>i</sub>:

$$\mathcal{B}'_{i} = \{a_{1}^{i} + \ker(T^{i-1}), \dots, a_{\ell_{i}}^{i} + \ker(T^{i-1})\}$$

Construct  $\mathcal{B}_i = \{a_1^i, \dots, a_{\ell_i}^i\}$ , then we claim that  $B := \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{B}_i$  forms a basis of *V*:

- First proof the case m = 2 first: let  $U \le V$  (dim(V) <  $\infty$ ), and  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{a_1^1, \dots, a_{k_1}^1\}$  be a basis of U, and

$$\mathcal{B}'_2 = \{a_1^2 + U, \dots, a_{k_2}^2 + U\}$$

be a basis of V/U. Then to show the statement suffices to show that

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} \{a_1^i, \dots, a_{k_i}^i\} \text{ forms a basis of } V.$$

It's clear that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} \{a_{1}^{i}, \dots, a_{k_{i}}^{i}\}$  spans *V*. Furthermore, dim(*V*) = dim(*U*) + dim(*V*/*U*) =  $k_{1} + k_{2}$ , i.e.,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{2} \{a_{1}^{i}, \dots, a_{k_{i}}^{i}\}$  contains correct amount of vectors. The proof is complete.

- This result can be extended from 2 to general *m*, thus the claim is shown.
- For i < m, consider the set  $S_i = \{T(w_j) + \ker(T^{i-1}) \mid w_j \in B_{i+1}\}$ . Note that
  - Since  $T^{i+1}(\boldsymbol{w}_j) = \mathbf{0}$ ,  $T^i(T(\boldsymbol{w}_j)) = \mathbf{0}$ , we imply  $T(\boldsymbol{w}_j) \in \ker(T^i)$ , i.e.,  $S_i \subseteq W_i$ .
  - The set  $S_i$  is linearly independent: consider the equation

$$\sum_{j} k_j(T(\boldsymbol{w}_j) + \ker(T^{i-1})) = \mathbf{0}_{W_i} \longleftrightarrow T\left(\sum_{j} k_j \boldsymbol{w}_j\right) + \ker(T^{i-1}) = \mathbf{0}_{W_i}$$

i.e.,

$$T\left(\sum_{j} k_{j} \boldsymbol{w}_{j}\right) \in \ker(T^{i-1}) \Longleftrightarrow T^{i-1}(T(\sum_{j} k_{j} \boldsymbol{w}_{j})) = \boldsymbol{0}_{V},$$

i.e.,  $\sum_{j} k_{j} \boldsymbol{w}_{j} \in \ker(T^{i})$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{j} k_{j} \boldsymbol{w}_{j} + \ker(T^{i}) = \boldsymbol{0}_{W_{i+1}} \longleftrightarrow \sum_{j} k_{j} (\boldsymbol{w}_{j} + \ker(T^{i})) = \boldsymbol{0}_{W_{i+1}}$$

Since  $\{w_j + \text{ker}(T^i), \forall j\}$  forms a basis of  $W_{i+1}$ , we imply  $k_j = 0, \forall j$ .

From  $\mathcal{B}_{i+1}$  we construct  $S_i$ , which is linearly independent in  $W_i$ . Therefore, we imply  $|T(\mathcal{B}_{i+1})| \le |\mathcal{B}_i|$  for  $\forall i < m$  (why?).

• Now we start to construct a basis  $\mathcal{A}$  of V:

- Start with 
$$\mathcal{B}'_m := \{u_1^m + \ker(T^{m-1}), \dots, u_{\ell_m}^m + \ker(T^{m-1})\}$$
, and  $\mathcal{B}_m = \{u_1^m, \dots, u_{\ell_m}^m\}$ .

- By the previous result,

$$\{T(u_1^m) + \ker(T^{m-2}), \dots, T(u_{\ell_m}^m) + \ker(T^{m-2})\}$$

is linear independent in  $W_{m-1}$ . By basis extension, we get a basis  $\mathcal{B}'_{m-1}$  of  $W_{m-1}$ , and let

$$\mathcal{B}_{m-1} = \{T(u_1^m), \dots, T(u_{\ell_m}^m)\} \cup \xi_{m-1}$$

where  $\xi_{m-1} := \{u_1^{m-1}, \dots, u_{\ell_{m-1}}^{m-1}\}$ 

- Continue the process above to obtain  $\mathcal{B}_{m-2}, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_1$ , and  $\cup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{B}_i$  forms a basis of *V*:

| $\mathcal{B}_1$  | $\mathcal{B}_2$  | <br>$\mathcal{B}_{m-1}$                       | ${\mathcal B}_m$                |
|--|--|---|---------------------------------|
| $\{T^{m-1}(u_1^m),\ldots,T^{m-1}(u_{\ell_m}^m)\}$<br>$\{T^{m-2}(u_1^{m-1}),\ldots,T^{m-2}(u_{\ell_m}^{m-1})\}$ | $\{T^{m-2}(u_1^m), \dots, T^{m-2}(u_{\ell_m}^m)\}$<br>+ $\{T^{m-3}(u_1^{m-1}), \dots, T^{m-3}(u_{\ell_m-1}^{m-1})\}$ | <br><br>$\{T(u_1^m),\ldots,T(u_{\ell_m}^m)\}$ | $\{u_1^m,\ldots,u_{\ell_m}^m\}$ |
| •<br>•   | •  | $\{u_1^{-1}, \dots, u_{\ell_{m-1}}^{-1}\}$    |                                 |
| $egin{aligned} \{T(u_1^2),\ldots,T(u_{\ell_2}^2)\}\ \{u_1^1,\ldots,u_{\ell_1}^1)\} \end{aligned}$              | $\{u_1^2,\ldots,u_{\ell_2}^2)\}$   |   |                                 |

– Now construct the ordered basis  $\mathcal{R}$ :

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{cases} T^{m-1}(u_1^m) & \cdots & T^2(u_1^m) & T(u_1^m) & u_1^m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ T^{m-1}(u_{\ell_m}^m) & \cdots & T^2(u_{\ell_m}^m) & T(u_{\ell_m}^m) & u_{\ell_m}^m \\ & T^{m-2}(u_1^{m-1}) & \cdots & T(u_1^{m-1}) & u_1^{m-1} \\ & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & T^{m-2}(u_{\ell_{m-1}}^{m-1}) & \cdots & T(u_{\ell_{m-1}}^{m-1}) & u_{\ell_{m-1}}^{m-1} \\ & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & u_1^1 \\ & & & & & & u_{\ell_1}^1 \end{cases}$$

– Then the diagonal entries of  $(T)_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}}$  should be all zero, since

$$T(T^{i-1}(u_i^i)) = T^i(u_i^i) = 0, \forall i = 1, ..., m, j = 1, ..., \ell_i,$$

and every entry on the superdiagonal is 1:



Figure 9.1: Illustration for  $(T)_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}}$ 

Then we consider the case where  $m_T(x) = (x - \lambda)^e$ :

**Corollary 9.3** Suppose  $T: V \to V$  is such that  $m_T(x) = (x - \lambda)^e$ , then the theorem (9.3) holds, i.e., there exists a basis  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

$$(T)_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}} = \operatorname{diag}(J_1,\ldots,J_\ell),$$

where each block  $J_i$  is a square matrix of the form

$$Y_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & \\ & \lambda & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $m_T(x) = (x - \lambda)^e$ . Consider the operator  $U := T - \lambda I$ , then  $m_U(x) = x^e$ .

By applying proposition (9.5),

$$(U)_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}} = \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{J}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{J}_\ell),$$

where

$$J_i = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & & \\ & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Or equivalently,

 $(T)_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}} - \lambda(I)_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}} = \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{J}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{J}_\ell)$ 

i.e.,

$$(T)_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}} = \operatorname{diag}(\boldsymbol{K}_1,\ldots,\boldsymbol{K}_\ell),$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{i} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 1 & & \\ & \lambda & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 1 \\ & & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

**R** The Jordan Normal Form Theorem (9.3) follows from our arguments using the primary decomposition.

**Corollary 9.4** Any matrix  $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{C})$  is similar to a matrix of the Jordan normal form diag $(J_1, \dots, J_\ell)$ .

## 9.4.2. Inner Product Spaces

**Definition 9.8** [Bilinear] Let V be a vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ . A bilinear form on V is a mapping

$$F: V \times V \to \mathbb{R}$$

satisfying

1. 
$$F(\boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w}) = F(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{w}) + F(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w})$$

2. 
$$F(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{w}) = F(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) + F(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{w})$$

3. 
$$F(\lambda \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \lambda F(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = F(\boldsymbol{u}, \lambda \boldsymbol{v})$$

We say

- *F* is symmetric if F(u, v) = F(v, u)
- F is non-degenerate if  $F(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{w}) = \boldsymbol{0}$  for  $\forall \boldsymbol{u} \in V$  implies  $\boldsymbol{w} = 0$
- *F* is positive definite if  $F(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}) > 0$  for  $\forall \mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$