Chapter 7

Week7

7.1. Monday for MAT3040

Reviewing. Define the characteristic polynomial for an linear operator *T*:

$$\mathcal{X}_T(x) = \det((T)_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}} - xI)$$

We will use the notation "I/I" in two different occasions:

- 1. *I* denotes the identity transformation from *V* to *V* with $I(\boldsymbol{v}) = \boldsymbol{v}, \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V$
- 2. *I* denotes the identity matrix $(I)_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}}$, defined based on any basis \mathcal{A} .

7.1.1. Minimal Polynomial

Definition 7.1 [Linear Operator Induced From Polynomial] Let $f(x) := a_m x^m + \cdots + a_0$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}[x]$, and $T: V \to V$ be a linear operator. Then the mapping

$$f(T) = a_m T^m + \dots + a_1 T + a_0 I: \quad V \to V,$$

is called a linear operator induced from the polynomial f(x).

Definition 7.2 [Minimal Polynomial] Let $T: V \to V$ be a linear operator. The minimal polynomial $m_T(x)$ is a nonzero monic polynomial of least (minimal) degree such that

$$m_T(T) = \mathbf{0}_{V \to V}.$$

where $\mathbf{0}_{V \to V}$ denotes the zero vector in Hom(V, V).

• Example 7.1 1. Let
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
, then A defines a linear operator:
 $A: \mathbb{F}^2 \to \mathbb{F}^2$
with $x \mapsto Ax$
Here $\mathcal{X}_A(x) = (x-1)^2$ and $A - I = 0$, which gives $m_A(x) = x - 1$.

Here $\mathcal{A}_A(x) = (x-1)^2$ and $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{0}$, which gives $m_A(x) = x - \mathbf{I}$ 2. Let $\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, which implies

$$\mathcal{X}_B(x)=(x-1)^2,$$

The question is that can we get the minimal polynomial with degree 1? The answer is no, since $\mathbf{B} - k\mathbf{I} = \begin{pmatrix} 1-k & 1\\ 0 & 1-k \end{pmatrix} \neq \mathbf{0}$.

In fact, $m_B(x) = (x-1)^2$, since

$$(\boldsymbol{B} - \boldsymbol{I})^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Two questions naturally arises:

- 1. Does $m_T(x)$ exist? If exists, is it unique?
- 2. What's the relationship between $m_T(x)$ and $\mathcal{X}_T(x)$?

Regarding to the first question, the minimal polynomial $m_T(x)$ may not exist, if *V* has infinite dimension:

Example 7.2 Consider $V = \mathbb{R}[x]$ and the mapping

$$T: V \to V$$
$$p(x) \mapsto \int_0^x p(t) dt$$

In particular, $T(x^n) = \frac{1}{n+1}x^{n+1}$. Suppose $m_T(x)$ is with degree n, i.e.,

$$m_T(x) = x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0,$$

then

$$m_T(T) = T^n + \cdots + a_0 I$$
 is a zero linear transformation

It follows that

$$[m_T(T)](x) = \frac{1}{n!}x^n + a_{n-1}\frac{1}{(n-1)!}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0 = 0_{\mathbb{F}},$$

which is a contradiction since the coefficients of x^k is nonzero on LHS for k = 1, ..., n, but zero on the RHS.

Proposition 7.1 The minimal polynomial $m_T(x)$ always exists for dim $(V) = n < \infty$.

Proof. It's clear that $\{I, T, ..., T^n, T^{n+1}, ..., T^{n^2}\} \subseteq \text{Hom}(V, V)$. Since dim $(\text{Hom}(V, V)) = n^2$, we imply $\{I, T, ..., T^n, T^{n+1}, ..., T^{n^2}\}$ is linearly dependent, i.e., there exists a_i 's that are not all zero such that

$$a_0I + a_1T + \dots + a_{n^2}T^{n^2} = 0$$

i.e., there is a polynomial g(x) of degree less than n^2 such that g(T) = 0.

The proof is complete.

Proposition 7.2 The minimal polynomial $m_T(x)$, if exists, then it exists uniquely.

Proof. Suppose f_1, f_2 are two distinct minimal polynomials with $deg(f_1) = deg(f_2)$. It follows that

• $\deg(f_1 - f_2) < \deg(f_1)$.

•
$$f_1 - f_2 \neq 0$$

•
$$(f_1 - f_2)(T) = f_1(T) - f_2(T) = 0_{V \to V}$$

By scaling $f_1 - f_2$, there is a monic polynomial g with lower degree satisfying g(T) = 0, which contradicts the definition for minimal polynomial.

Proposition 7.3 Suppose $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ satisfying $f(T) = \mathbf{0}$, then

$$m_T(x) \mid f(x).$$

Proof. It's clear that $\deg(f) \ge \deg(m_T)$. The division algorithm gives

$$f(x) = q(x)m_T(x) + r(x).$$

Therefore, for any $\boldsymbol{v} \in V$

$$[r(T)](\boldsymbol{v}) = [f(T)](\boldsymbol{v}) - [q(T)m_T(T)](\boldsymbol{v}) = \boldsymbol{0}_V - q(T)\boldsymbol{0}_V = \boldsymbol{0}_V - \boldsymbol{0}_V = \boldsymbol{0}_V$$

Therefore, $r(T) = \mathbf{0}_{V \to V}$. By definition of minimal polynomial, we imply $r(x) \equiv 0$. **Proposition 7.4** If $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{F}^{n \times n}$ are similar to each other, then $m_A(x) = m_B(x)$. *Proof.* Suppose that $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{P}$, and that

$$m_A(x) = x^k + \dots + a_1 x + a_0, \quad m_B(x) = x^\ell + \dots + b_0.$$

It follows that

$$m_A(\mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{B}^k + \dots + a_0 I$$

= $\mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{A}^k \mathbf{P} + \dots + a_0 \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{P}$
= $\mathbf{P}^{-1} (\mathbf{A}^k + \dots + a_0 \mathbf{I}) \mathbf{P}$
= $\mathbf{P}^{-1} (m_A(\mathbf{A})) \mathbf{P}$

Therefore, $m_A(B) = \mathbf{0}$ since $m_A(A) = \mathbf{0}$. By proposition (7.3), we imply $m_B(x) \mid m_A(x)$. Similarly, $m_A(x) \mid m_B(x)$. Since $m_A(x)$ and $m_B(x)$ are monic, we imply $m_A(x) = m_B(x)$.

R Proposition (7.4) claims that the minimal polynomial is **similarity-invariant**; actually, the characteristic polynomial is **similarity-invariant** as well.

Assumption. We will assume *V* has finite dimension from now on. Now we study the vanishing of a single vector $v \in V$.

Notation. The $m_T(x)$ is a nonzero monic poylnomial of least degree such that

$$m_T(T) = \mathbf{0}_{V \to V}$$

7.1.2. Minimal Polynomial of a vector

Definition 7.3 [Minimal Polynomial of a vector] Similar to the minimal polynomial, we define the minimal polynomial of a vector v relative to T, say $m_{T,v}(x)$, as the monic polynomial of least degree such that

$$m_{T,\boldsymbol{v}}(T)(\boldsymbol{v}) = 0$$

The existence of minimal polynomial of a vector is due to the existence of minimal polynomial; the uniqueness follows similarly as in proposition (7.2).

Proposition 7.5 Let $T: V \to V$ be a linear operator and $\boldsymbol{v} \in V$. The degree of the minimal polynomial of a vector is upper bounded by:

$$\deg(m_{T,\boldsymbol{v}}(\boldsymbol{x})) \leq \dim(V).$$

Proof. It's clear that $\{\boldsymbol{v}, T\boldsymbol{v}, \dots, T^n\boldsymbol{v}\} \subseteq V$ and the proof follows similarly as in proposition (7.1).

Similar to the division property in proposition (7.3), we have the division proprty for minimal polynomial of a vector:

Proposition 7.6 Suppose $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ satisfying $f(T)(\boldsymbol{v}) = \mathbf{0}_V$, then

$$m_{T,\boldsymbol{v}}(x) \mid f(x).$$

In particular, $m_{T,v} \mid m_T(x)$.

Proof. The proof follows similarly as in proposition (7.3).

Proposition 7.7 Suppose that $m_{T,\boldsymbol{v}}(x) = f_1(x)f_2(x)$, where f_1, f_2 are both monic. Let $\boldsymbol{w} = f_1(T)\boldsymbol{v}$, then

$$m_{T,\boldsymbol{w}}(x) = f_2(x)$$

Proof. 1.

$$f_2(T)\boldsymbol{w} = f_2(T)f_1(T)\boldsymbol{v} = m_{T,\boldsymbol{v}}(T)\boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{0}$$

By the proposition (7.3), we imply $m_{T,\boldsymbol{w}}|f_2$.

2. On the other hand,

$$\mathbf{0} = m_{T,\boldsymbol{w}}(T)(\boldsymbol{w}) = m_{T,\boldsymbol{w}}(T)f_1(T)\boldsymbol{v} = f_1(T)m_{T,\boldsymbol{w}}(T)\boldsymbol{v},$$

which implies that $m_{T,\boldsymbol{v}}(x) \mid f_1(x)m_{T,\boldsymbol{w}}(x)$,, i.e.,

$$f_1 \cdot f_2 \mid f_1 \cdot m_{T, \boldsymbol{w}} \Longrightarrow f_2 \mid m_{T, \boldsymbol{w}}.$$

The proof is complete.