

Chapter 3

Week3

3.1. Monday for MAT3040

Reviewing.

1. Complementation. Suppose $\dim(V) = n < \infty$, then $W \leq V$ implies that there exists W' such that

$$W \oplus W' = V.$$

2. Given the linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow W$, define the set $\ker(T)$ and $\text{Im}(T)$.
3. Isomorphism of vector spaces: $T : V \cong W$
4. Rank-Nullity Theorem

3.1.1. Remarks on Isomorphism

Proposition 3.1 If $T : V \rightarrow W$ is an isomorphism, then

1. the set $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$ is linearly independent in V if and only if $\{T\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_k\}$ is linearly independent.
2. The same goes if we replace the linearly independence by spans.
3. If $\dim(V) = n$, then $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ forms a basis of V if and only if $\{T\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, T\mathbf{v}_n\}$ forms a basis of W . In particular, $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$.
4. Two vector spaces with finite dimensions are isomorphic if and only if they have the same dimension:

Proof. It suffices to show the reverse direction. Let $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ and $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$ be two

basis of V, W , respectively. Define the linear transformation $T: V \rightarrow W$ by

$$T(a_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + a_n\mathbf{v}_n) = a_1\mathbf{w}_1 + \cdots + a_n\mathbf{w}_n$$

Then T is surjective since $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$ spans W ; T is injective since $\{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$ is linearly independent. ■

3.1.2. Change of Basis and Matrix Representation

Definition 3.1 [Coordinate Vector] Let V be a finite dimensional vector space and $B = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ an **ordered** basis of V . Any vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$ can be uniquely written as

$$\mathbf{v} = \alpha_1\mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n\mathbf{v}_n,$$

Therefore we define the map $[\cdot]_B: V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$, which maps any vector in \mathbf{v} into its **coordinate vector**:

$$[\mathbf{v}]_B = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Ⓡ Note that $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ and $\{\mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ are distinct ordered basis.

■ **Example 3.1** Given $V = M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{F})$ and the ordered basis

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Any matrix has the coordinate vector w.r.t. \mathcal{B} , i.e.,

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \right]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 4 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

However, if given another ordered basis

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

the matrix may have the different coordinate vector w.r.t. \mathcal{B}_1 :

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \right]_{\mathcal{B}_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 3.1 The mapping $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}} : V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^n$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. 1. First show the operator $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{B}}$ is well-defined, i.e., the same input gives the same output. Suppose that

$$[\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} \quad [\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha'_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha'_n \end{pmatrix},$$

then we imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} &= \alpha_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \mathbf{v}_n \\ &= \alpha'_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \alpha'_n \mathbf{v}_n. \end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of coordinates, we imply $\alpha_i = \alpha'_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

2. It's clear that the operator $[\cdot]_B$ is a linear transformation, i.e.,

$$[p\mathbf{v} + q\mathbf{w}]_B = p[\mathbf{v}]_B + q[\mathbf{w}]_B \quad \forall p, q \in \mathbb{F}$$

3. The operator $[\cdot]_B$ is surjective:

$$[\mathbf{v}]_B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \implies \mathbf{v} = 0\mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + 0\mathbf{v}_n = \mathbf{0}.$$

4. The injective is clear, i.e., $[\mathbf{v}]_B = [\mathbf{w}]_B$ implies $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w}$.

Therefore, $[\cdot]_B$ is an isomorphism. ■

We can use the Theorem (3.1) to simplify computations in vector spaces:

■ **Example 3.2** Given a vector sapce $V = P_3[x]$ and its basis $B = \{1, x, x^2, x^3\}$.

To check if the set $\{1 + x^2, 3 - x^3, x - x^3\}$ is linearly independent, by part (1) in Proposition (3.1) and Theorem (3.1), it suffices to check whether the corresponding coordinate vectors

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

is linearly independent, i.e., do Gaussian Elimination and check the number of pivots. ■

Here gives rise to the question: if B_1, B_2 form two basis of V , then how are $[\mathbf{v}]_{B_1}, [\mathbf{v}]_{B_2}$ related to each other?

Here we consider an easy example first:

■ **Example 3.3** Consider $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ and its basis $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}$. For any $\mathbf{v} \in V$,

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} = \alpha_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \mathbf{e}_n \implies [\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{B}_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Also, we can construct a different basis of V :

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \dots, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

which gives a different coordinate vector of \mathbf{v} :

$$[\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{B}_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Proposition 3.2 — Change of Basis. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$ and $\mathcal{A}' = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$ be two ordered basis of a vector space V . Define the **change of basis** matrix from \mathcal{A} to \mathcal{A}' , say $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}} := [\alpha_{ij}]$, where

$$\mathbf{v}_j = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{w}_i$$

Then for any vector $\mathbf{v} \in V$, the *change of basis* amounts to left-multiplying the change of basis matrix:

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{A}} [\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{A}} = [\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{A}'} \quad (3.1)$$

Define matrix $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}'} := [\beta_{ij}]$, where

$$\mathbf{w}_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_{ij} \mathbf{v}_i$$

Then we imply that

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A},\mathcal{A}'})^{-1} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}',\mathcal{A}}$$

Proof. 1. First show (3.1) holds for $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS of (3.1)} &= [\alpha_{ij}] \mathbf{e}_j = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{nj} \end{pmatrix} \\ \text{RHS of (3.1)} &= [\mathbf{v}_j]_{\mathcal{A}'} = \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \mathbf{w}_i \right]_{\mathcal{A}'} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{nj} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}',\mathcal{A}}[\mathbf{v}_j]_{\mathcal{A}} = [\mathbf{v}_j]_{\mathcal{A}'}, \quad \forall j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (3.2)$$

2. Then for any $\mathbf{v} \in V$, we imply $\mathbf{v} = r_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + r_n \mathbf{v}_n$, which implies that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}',\mathcal{A}}[\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}',\mathcal{A}}[r_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + r_n \mathbf{v}_n]_{\mathcal{A}} \quad (3.3a)$$

$$= \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}',\mathcal{A}}(r_1 [\mathbf{v}_1]_{\mathcal{A}} + \dots + r_n [\mathbf{v}_n]_{\mathcal{A}}) \quad (3.3b)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n r_j \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}',\mathcal{A}}[\mathbf{v}_j]_{\mathcal{A}} \quad (3.3c)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n r_j [\mathbf{v}_j]_{\mathcal{A}'} \quad (3.3d)$$

$$= \left[\sum_{j=1}^n r_j \mathbf{v}_j \right]_{\mathcal{A}'} \quad (3.3e)$$

$$= [\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{A}'} \quad (3.3f)$$

where (3.3a) and (3.3e) is by applying the linearity of $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{A}'}$; (3.3d) is by applying the result (3.12). Therefore (3.1) is shown for $\forall \mathbf{v} \in V$.

3. Now we show that $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}'}\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{A}}) = \mathbf{I}_n$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_j &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{w}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_{ki} \mathbf{v}_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_{ki} \alpha_{ij} \right) \mathbf{v}_i\end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of coordinates, we imply

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_{ki} \alpha_{ij} \right) = \delta_{jk} := \begin{cases} 1, & j = k \\ 0, & j \neq k \end{cases}$$

By the matrix multiplication, the (k, j) -th entry for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}'}\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{A}}$ is

$$[\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}'}\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{A}}]_{kj} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_{ki} \alpha_{ij} \right) = \delta_{jk} \implies (\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}'}\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{A}}) = \mathbf{I}_n$$

Now, suppose

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{v}_j &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{w}_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^n \beta_{ki} \mathbf{v}_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_{ki} \alpha_{ij} \right) \mathbf{v}_i\end{aligned}$$

By the uniqueness of coordinates, we imply

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_{ki} \alpha_{ij} \right) = \begin{cases} 1, & j = k \\ 0, & j \neq k \end{cases}$$

where

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_{ki} \alpha_{ij} \right) = (\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}'}\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{A}}).$$

Therefore, $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{A}'}\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{A}'\mathcal{A}}) = \mathbf{I}_n$. ■

■ **Example 3.4** Back to Example (3.3), write $\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2$ as

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n\}, \quad \mathcal{B}_2 = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n\}$$

and therefore $\mathbf{w}_i = \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_i$. The change of basis matrix is given by

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which implies that for \mathbf{v} in the example,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2}[\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{B}_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{n-1} - \alpha_n \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} = [\mathbf{v}]_{\mathcal{B}_1}$$

■ **Definition 3.2** Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a linear transformation, and

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}, \quad \mathcal{B} = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m\}$$

be basis of V and W , respectively. The **matrix representation** of T with respect to (w.r.t.) \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} is defined as $(T)_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}} \in M_{m \times m}(\mathbb{F})$, where

$$T(\mathbf{v}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ij} \mathbf{w}_i$$