

## 3.2. Monday for MAT3006

### Reviewing.

#### 1. Compactness/Sequential Compactness:

- Equivalence for metric space
- Stronger than closed and bounded

#### 2. Completeness:

- The metric space  $(E, d)$  is complete if every Cauchy sequence on  $E$  is convergent.
- $\mathbb{P}[a, b] \subseteq \mathcal{C}[a, b]$  is not complete:

$$f_N(x) = \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!} \rightarrow \cos x,$$

while  $\cos x \notin \mathcal{P}[a, b]$ .

### 3.2.1. Remarks on Completeness

**Proposition 3.3** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space.

1. If  $X$  is complete and  $E \subseteq X$  is closed, then  $E$  is complete.
2. If  $E \subseteq X$  is complete, then  $E$  is closed in  $X$ .
3. If  $E \subseteq X$  is compact, then  $E$  is complete.

*Proof.* 1. Every Cauchy sequence  $\{e_n\}$  in  $E \subseteq X$  is also a Cauchy sequence in  $E$ .

Therefore we imply  $\{e_n\} \rightarrow x \in X$ , due to the completeness of  $X$ .

Due to the closedness of  $E$ , the limit  $x \in E$ , i.e.,  $E$  is complete.

2. Consider any convergent sequence  $\{e_n\}$  in  $E$ , with some limit  $x \in X$ .

We imply  $\{e_n\}$  is Cauchy and thus  $\{e_n\} \rightarrow e \in E$ , due to the completeness of  $E$ .

By the uniqueness of limits, we must have  $x = e \in E$ , i.e.,  $E$  is closed.

3. Consider a Cauchy sequence  $\{e_n\}$  in  $E$ . There exists a subsequence  $\{e_{n_j}\} \rightarrow e \in E$ , due to the sequential compactness of  $E$ .

It follows that for large  $n$  and  $j$ ,

$$d(e_n, e) \stackrel{(a)}{\leq} d(e_n, e_{n_j}) + d(e_{n_j}, e) \stackrel{(b)}{<} \varepsilon$$

where (a) is due to triangle inequality and (b) is due to the Cauchy property of  $\{e_n\}$  and the convergence of  $\{e_{n_j}\}$ .

Therefore, we imply  $\{e_n\} \rightarrow e \in E$ , i.e.,  $E$  is complete. ■

- R** Given any metric space that may not be necessarily complete, we can make the union of it with another space to make it complete, e.g., just like the completion from  $\mathbb{Q}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

### 3.2.2. Contraction Mapping Theorem

The motivation of the contraction mapping theorem comes from solving an equation  $f(x)$ . More precisely, such a problem can be turned into a problem for fixed points, i.e., it suffices to find the fixed points for  $g(x)$ , with  $g(x) = f(x) + x$ .

**Definition 3.3** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. A map  $T : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$  is a **contraction** if there exists a constant  $\tau \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$d(T(x), T(y)) < \tau \cdot d(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X$$

A point  $x$  is called a fixed point of  $T$  if  $T(x) = x$ . ■

- R** All contractions are continuous: Given any convergence sequence  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x$ , for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $N$  such that  $d(x_n, x) < \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau}$  for  $n \geq N$ . It suffices to show the convergence of  $\{T(x_n)\}$ :

$$d(T(x_n), T(x)) < \tau \cdot d(x_n, x) < \tau \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau} = \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, the contraction is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant  $\tau$ .

**Theorem 3.2 — Contraction Mapping Theorem / Banach Fixed Point Theorem.** Every contraction  $T$  in a **complete** metric space  $X$  has a unique fixed point.

- **Example 3.5**
1. The mapping  $f(x) = x + 1$  is not a contraction in  $X = \mathbb{R}$ , and it has no fixed point.
  2. Consider an in-complete space  $X = (0,1)$  and a contraction  $f(x) = \frac{x+1}{2}$ . It doesn't admit a fixed point on  $X$  as well.

*Proof.* Pick any  $x_0 \in X$ , and define a sequence recursively by setting  $x_{n+1} = T(x_n)$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

1. Firstly show that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy.

We can upper bound the term  $d(T^n(x_0), T^{n-1}(x_0))$ :

$$d(T^n(x_0), T^{n-1}(x_0)) \leq \tau d(T^{n-1}(x_0), T^{n-2}(x_0)) \leq \dots \leq \tau^{n-1} d(T(x_0), x_0) \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore for any  $n \geq m$ , where  $m$  is going to be specified later,

$$d(x_n, x_m) = d(T^n(x_0), T^m(x_0)) \quad (3.5a)$$

$$\leq \tau d(T^{n-1}(x_0), T^{m-1}(x_0)) \leq \dots \leq \tau^m d(T^{n-m}(x_0), x_0) \quad (3.5b)$$

$$\leq \tau^m \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \tau^{n-m-j} d(T(x_0), x_0) \quad (3.5c)$$

$$< \frac{\tau^m}{1-\tau} d(T(x_0), x_0) \quad (3.5d)$$

$$\leq \varepsilon \quad (3.5e)$$

where (3.5b) is by repeatedly applying contraction property of  $d$ ; (3.5c) is by applying the triangle inequality and (3.4); (3.5e) is by choosing sufficiently large  $m$  such that  $\frac{\tau^m}{1-\tau} d(T(x_0), x_0) < \varepsilon$ .

Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy. By the completeness of  $X$ , we imply  $\{x_n\} \rightarrow x \in X$ .

2. Therefore, we imply

$$x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T(x_n) = T(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n) = T(x),$$

i.e.,  $x$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

Now we show the uniqueness of the fixed point. Suppose that there is another fixed point  $y \in X$ , then

$$d(x, y) = d(T(x), T(y)) < \tau \cdot d(x, y) \implies d(x, y) < \tau d(x, y), \quad \tau \in (0, 1),$$

and we conclude that  $d(x, y) = 0$ , i.e.,  $x = y$ . ■

■ **Example 3.6** [Convergence of Newton's Method] The Newton's method aims to find the root of  $f(x)$  by applying the iteration

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

Suppose  $r$  is a root for  $f$ , the pre-assumption for the convergence of Newton's method is:

1.  $f'(r) \neq 0$
2.  $f \in \mathcal{C}^2$  on some neighborhood of  $r$

*Proof.* 1. We first show that there exists  $[r - \varepsilon, r + \varepsilon]$  such that the mapping

$$T : \mathcal{C}[r - \varepsilon, r + \varepsilon] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f(x) \mapsto x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$$

satisfies  $|T'(x)| < 1$  for  $\forall x \in [r - \varepsilon, r + \varepsilon]$ :

Note that  $T'(x) = \frac{f(x)}{[f'(x)]^2} f''(x)$ , and we define  $h(x) = |T'(x)|$ .

It's clear that  $h(r) = 0$  and  $h(x)$  is continuous, which implies

$$r \in h^{-1}((-1, 1)) \implies B_\rho(r) \subseteq h^{-1}((-1, 1)) \text{ for some } \rho > 0.$$

Or equivalently,  $h((r - \rho, r + \rho)) \subseteq (-1, 1)$ . Take  $\varepsilon = \frac{\rho}{2}$ , and the result is obvious.

2. Therefore, any  $x, y \in [r - \varepsilon, r + \varepsilon]$ ,

$$d(T(x), T(y)) := |T(x) - T(y)| \quad (3.6a)$$

$$= |T'(\xi)| |x - y| \quad (3.6b)$$

$$\leq \max_{\xi \in [r - \varepsilon, r + \varepsilon]} |T'(\xi)| |x - y| \quad (3.6c)$$

$$< m \cdot |x - y| \quad (3.6d)$$

where (3.6b) is by applying MVT, and  $\xi$  is some point in  $[r - \varepsilon, r + \varepsilon]$ ; we assume that  $\max_{\xi \in [r - \varepsilon, r + \varepsilon]} |T'(\xi)| < m$  for some  $m < 1$  in (3.6d).

Therefore,  $T \in \mathcal{C}[r - \varepsilon, r + \varepsilon]$  is a contraction. By applying the contraction mapping theorem, there exists a unique fixed point near  $[r - \varepsilon, r + \varepsilon]$ :

$$x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)} = x \implies \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)} = 0 \implies f(x) = 0,$$

i.e., we obtain a root  $x = r$ . ■

Summary: if we use Newton's method on any point between  $[r - \varepsilon, r + \varepsilon]$  where  $f(r) = 0$  and  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small, then we will eventually get close to  $r$ . ■

### 3.2.3. Picard Lindelof Theorem

We will use Banach fixed point theorem to show the existence and uniqueness of the solution of ODE

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y(x)) \\ y(x_0) = y_0 \end{cases} \quad \text{Initial Value Problem, IVP} \quad (3.7)$$

■ **Example 3.7** Consider the IVP

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy}{dx} = x^2 y^{1/5} \\ y(x_0) = c > 0 \end{cases} \implies y = \left( \frac{4x^3}{15} + c^{4/5} \right)^{5/4}$$

which can be solved by the separation of variables:

$$c > 0 \implies y = \left( \frac{4x^3}{15} + c^{4/5} \right)^{5/4}.$$

However, when  $c = 0$ , the ODE does not have a unique solution. One can verify that  $y_1, y_2$  given below are both solutions of this ODE:

$$y_1 = \left( \frac{4x^3}{15} \right)^{5/4}, \quad y_2 = 0$$

This example shows that even when  $f$  is very nice, the IVP may not have unique solution. The Picard-Lindelof theorem will give a clean condition on  $f$  ensuring the unique solvability of the IVP (3.7). ■