## 13.2. Monday for MAT3006

**Notations.** In this lecture, we let  $\int_{I} f(x, y) dx$  denote the Lebesgue integral.

**Theorem 13.3** Let *I*, *J* be intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and  $f : I \times J \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function such that

- 1. For fixed  $y \in J$ , the function f(x) := f(x, y) is integrable on *I*
- 2.  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$  exists for any  $(x, y) \in I \times J$
- 3.  $\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y)\right| \le g(x)$  for some integrable function g(x) on *I*.

Then  $F(y) := \int_{I} f(x, y) dx$  is differentiable on *J*, with

$$F'(y) = \int_{I} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) dx$$

*Proof.* Fix  $y \in J$ , and consider any sequence  $\{y_n\}$  (with  $y_n \neq y$ ) in J converging to y.

Construct the function

$$g_n(x) := \frac{f(x, y_n) - f(x, y)}{y_n - y}$$

which follows that

- 1. The function  $g_n$  is integrable by hypothesis (1)
- 2. The function  $g_n(x)$  converges to  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y)$  as  $n \to \infty$
- 3. By MVT,  $|g_n(x)| = |\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x,\xi)|$ , which is bounded by g(x) by hypothesis (3).

Therefore, the DCT applies, and

$$\int_{I} g_{n}(x) dx = \frac{1}{y_{n} - y} \left[ \int f(x, y_{n}) dx - \int f(x, y) dx \right] \rightarrow \int_{I} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) dx$$

In other words, for all sequences  $\{y_n\} \rightarrow y$  with  $y_n \neq y$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{F(y_n) - F(y)}{y_n - y} = \int_I \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) dx$$

From the elementary analysis knowledge, in particular,  $\lim_{y'\to y} H(y')$  exists (equal to *L*) if and only if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} H(y_n) = L$  for all sequences  $\{y_n\} \to y$  with  $y_n \neq y$ . Therefore,

$$F'(y) := \lim_{y' \to y} \frac{F(y') - F(y)}{y' - y} = \int_I \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y) dx.$$

## 13.2.1. Double Integral

**Definition 13.1** [Measure in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ] In  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , we can define the **measure** of the rectangle  $A \times B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  with  $A, B \in \mathcal{M}$  by

$$m^*(A \times B) = m(A)m(B)$$

In particular, we define

$$x \cdot \infty = \infty \cdot x = (-x) \cdot (-\infty) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } x > 0 \\ -\infty, & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

**Definition 13.2** [Outer Measure in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ] Then the outer measure of any  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  is defined as

$$m^*(E) := \inf\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(R_i) \middle| E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} R_i, R_i = A_i \times B_i, A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{M}\right\}$$

**Definition 13.3** [Lebesgue Measurable in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ] A subset  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$  is Lebesgue measurable if E satisfies the Carathedory Property:

$$m^*(A) = m^*(A \cap E) + m^*(A \setminus E),$$

for any subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Construction of Measurable Space in**  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Given two measurable spaces (*X*,  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mu$ ) and (*Y*,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\lambda$ ), in particular, we are interested in

$$(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu) = (Y, \mathcal{B}, \lambda) = (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M}, m).$$

Now we want to construct the measurable space in  $X \times Y := \mathbb{R}^2$ .

1. Start from the "measurable rectangles"

$$\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} = \{A \times B \mid A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

2. Define the function  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \to [0, \infty]$  by

$$\pi(A \times B) = \mu(A)\lambda(B).$$

- 3. Let  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ . Then by Caratheodory extension theorem, we can extend  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B} \to [0, \infty]$  to  $\tilde{\pi} : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} \to [0, \infty]$  such that
  - (a)  $(X \times Y, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}, \tilde{\pi})$  is a measurable space
  - (b)  $\tilde{\pi} \mid_{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}} = \pi$ .

```
R
```

- If further we have A and B are σ-finite, i.e., there exists E<sub>i</sub> ∈ A such that X = ∪<sub>i=1</sub><sup>∞</sup>E<sub>i</sub>, μ(E<sub>i</sub>) < ∞, ∀i, then we can imply the extension π̃ is unique.</li>
  (For instance, ℝ = ∪<sub>n∈ℤ</sub>[n, n + 1] and m([n, n + 1]) = 1 < ∞, i.e., (ℝ, μ, m) is σ-finite.)</li>
- Question: we can construct two measurable space (ℝ × ℝ, M ⊗ M, π̃) and (ℝ<sup>2</sup>, M<sub>ℝ<sup>2</sup></sub>, m). Are they the same?

Answer : no, but the latter can be obtained from the former by completion process. In particular,

$$m\mid_{\mathcal{M}\otimes\mathcal{M}}=\tilde{\pi}.$$

Let's study the measurable space  $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}, f)$  first, where  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to [-\infty, \infty]$ is a measurable function, i.e.,  $f^{-1}((a, \infty]) \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . In particular, we say  $E \subseteq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$  is measurable if  $E \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}$  for the moment being (but we will generalize the notion of measurable into  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$  in the future).

**Definition 13.4** [x-section and y-section] Let  $E \subseteq X \times Y$ , with  $(x, y) \in E$ . Define

- the x-section E<sub>x</sub> = {y ∈ Y | (x, y) ∈ E}, for fixed x ∈ X
  the y-section E<sub>y</sub> = {x ∈ X | (x, y) ∈ E}, for fixed y ∈ Y.

**Proposition 13.2** Suppose that  $E \subseteq X \times Y$  is measurable (i.e.,  $E \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ ), then  $E_x \in \mathcal{B}$ and  $E_y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Proof.* Construct the set  $\mathfrak{A} = \{E \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} \mid E_x \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . It suffices to show  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . We claim that

- 1.  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra
- 2.  $\mathfrak{A}$  contains all  $A \times B \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$

If the claim (1) and (2) hold, and since  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$  is the smallest- $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ , we imply  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathfrak{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ , i.e., the proof is complete.

- 1. (a) Note that  $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{A}$ , and  $X \times Y \in \mathfrak{A}$  since  $(X \times Y)_x = Y \in \mathcal{B}$ .
  - (b) Suppose that  $E_i \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $i \ge 1$ , i.e.,  $(E_i)_x \in \mathcal{B}$ . Observe that

$$\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}E_i\right)_x=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty}(E_i)_x\in\mathcal{B},$$

since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Therefore,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

(c) Suppose that  $E \in \mathfrak{A}$ , i.e.,  $(E)_x \in \mathcal{B}$ , then

$$(E^{c})_{x} = \{ y \mid (x, y) \in E^{c} \}$$
$$= \{ y \mid (x, y) \notin E \}$$
$$= (E_{x})^{c} \in \mathcal{B}$$

which implies  $E^c \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

2. For any  $A \times B \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ , since  $(A \times B)_x = B \in \mathcal{B}$ , we imply  $(A \times B) \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

In conclusion,  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ . For all  $E \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ , we imply  $E \in \mathfrak{A}$ , i.e.,  $E_x \in \mathcal{B}$ .

**Proposition 13.3** Sippose that  $f : X \times Y \to [-\infty, \infty]$  is measurable. (i.e.,  $f^{-1}((a, \infty]) \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ ), then the maps

$$\begin{cases} f_x : Y \to [-\infty, \infty] \\ \text{with } f_x(y) \coloneqq f(x, y) \end{cases} , \qquad \begin{cases} f_y : X \to [-\infty, \infty] \\ \text{with } f_y(x) \coloneqq f(x, y) \end{cases}$$

are measurable. More precisely,  $f_x^{-1}((a,\infty]) \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $f_y^{-1}((a,\infty]) \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Proof.

$$f_x^{-1}((a,\infty]) = \{ y \in Y \mid f_x(y) \in (a,\infty] \}$$
  
=  $\{ y \in Y \mid f(x,y) > a \}$   
=  $\{ (u,y) \in X \times Y \mid f(u,y) > a \}_x$   
=  $(f^{-1}((a,\infty]))_x \in \mathcal{B}$